

SECTION 1: CIA SUMMARY

Community Impact Assessment: Summary

1. Name of service, policy, function or criteria being assessed:
 Public Bridleway, Metcalfe Lane to Meadlands, Derwenthorpe, Osbaldwick – Proposed Path Diversion under section 257 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990

2. What are the main objectives or aims of the service/policy/function/criteria?
 A path diversion under s257 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 is a Legal Order that can divert public rights of way onto new alignments. They are requested to enable development to take place that has received planning permission. In this case the diversion is requested as 2 dwellings within Phase 4 of the Derwenthorpe development are to be built on the current line of the northern section of the path.

3. Name and Job Title of person completing assessment:
 Alison Newbould – Rights of Way Officer

<p>4. Have any impacts been Identified? (Yes/No) Yes</p>	<p>Community of Identity affected: Age; Carers of older and disabled people; Disability; Pregnancy and maternity</p>	<p>Summary of impact: The impact is considered to be positive/neutral. The proposed diversion of the path will filter the normal traffic of the path onto a new shared use estate road, which is just as, if not slightly more convenient than the current layout to those Community of Identity groups affected. The proposal will:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provide a permanent and slightly wider surface to the current path. • See the removal of the current cycle/vehicle barriers at the Meadlands end of the path, making it more convenient for all users.
--	--	---

5. Date CIA completed: 14/02/17

6. Signed off by:

7. I am satisfied that this service/policy/function has been successfully impact assessed.

Name:

Position:

Date:

8. Decision-making body:

Date:

Decision Details:

**Decision Session – Executive
Member for Transport and
Planning**

9 March 2017

Send the completed signed off document to ciasubmission@york.gov.uk It will be published on the intranet, as well as on the council website.

Actions arising from the Assessments will be logged on Verto and progress updates will be required

Community Impact Assessment (CIA)

**Community Impact Assessment
Title:**

Public Footpath, New Earswick No 1 – Proposed Public Path Diversion Order

What evidence is available **to suggest that the proposed service, policy, function or criteria could have a negative (N), positive (P) or no (None) effect** on quality of life outcomes? (Refer to guidance for further details)

Can negative impacts be justified? **For example: improving community cohesion; complying with other legislation or enforcement duties; taking positive action to address imbalances or under-representation; needing to target a particular community or group e.g. older people.** NB. Lack of financial resources alone is NOT justification!

Community of Identity: Age

Evidence	Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
<p>Public rights of way officers, as an important part of their work, are required to be aware of the need for paths to be made as accessible as possible, having regard to the effect that their location and physical environment is likely to have on their potential use.</p> <p>Extensive consultation with the public and user groups including those representing older people and people with mobility problems (including wheelchair and buggy users) was carried out to inform the council's Draft Rights of Way Improvement Plan, a requirement of which was to have specific regard to the accessibility of local rights of way to blind or partially sighted persons and those with mobility problems. Responses showed that there is a significant demand for paths to be made more accessible.</p> <p>In this case, the diversion is required as the northern end of the path is affected Phase 4 of the Derwenthorpe development in that 2 houses are to be built on this section. Planning permission has already been granted by the Council in his respect.</p>	<p>Access to Services; Health; Productive and valued activities; Individual, family and social life</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Positive</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Positive</p>

Details of Impact	<i>Can negative impacts be justified?</i>	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date
<p>Positive: The path will be diverted from its current alignment to come out onto a new estate road linking in to Meadlands. On completion the proposed new route will have a like for like tarmac surface similar to the surface of the current path that is to be diverted. The new section of path will be 2 metres wide, which is slightly wider than the current arrangement. The extensive barriers at the path's junction with Meadlands will be removed allowing easier access for those with mobility problems, wheelchair users, buggies and cyclists.</p> <p>Negative: None</p>	N/A	None required	A Newbould	

Community of Identity: Carers of Older or Disabled People

Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
As above.		Access to Services; Longevity; Health; Productive and valued activities; Individual, family and social life	Positive	Positive
Details of Impact	<i>Can negative impacts be justified?</i>	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date
<p>Positive: As above The proposed diversion would make the path more accessible to a wider range of users including Carers of Older or Disabled People who may be accompanying other users of the path.</p> <p>Negative: None</p>	N/A	None required	A Newbould	

Community of Identity: Disability

Community of Identity: Disability					
Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators		Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
As above		Access to Services; Health; Productive and valued activities; Individual, family and social life		Positive	Positive
Details of Impact		<i>Can negative impacts be justified?</i>	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date
<p>Positive: The surface of the new path will be slightly wider than at current with a tarmac surface.</p> <p>Negative: None</p>		N/A	None required	A Newbould	

Community of Identity: Gender

Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
Not applicable		Not applicable	None	None
Details of Impact	<i>Can negative impacts be justified?</i>	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date
There is not expected to be either a positive or negative impact on this community of identity group.		None required		

Community of Identity: Gender Reassignment

Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
Not applicable		Not applicable	None	None
Details of Impact	<i>Can negative impacts be justified?</i>	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date
There is not expected to be either a positive or negative impact on this community of identity group.		None required		

Community of Identity: Marriage & Civil Partnership

Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
Not applicable		Not applicable	None	None
Details of Impact	<i>Can negative impacts be justified?</i>	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date
There is not expected to be either a positive or negative impact on this community of identity group.		None required		

Community of Identity: Pregnancy / Maternity

Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
As above		Access to Services; Health; Productive and valued activities; Individual, family and social life	Positive	Positive
Details of Impact	<i>Can negative impacts be justified?</i>	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date
There is not expected to be either a positive or negative impact on this community of identity group.	N/A	None required	A Newbould	

Community of Identity: Race

Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
Not applicable		Not applicable	None	None
Details of Impact	<i>Can negative impacts be justified?</i>	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date
There is not expected to be either a positive or negative impact on this community of identity group.		None required		

Community of Identity: Religion / Spirituality / Belief

Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
Not applicable		Not applicable	None	None
Details of Impact	<i>Can negative impacts be justified?</i>	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date
There is not expected to be either a positive or negative impact on this community of identity group.		None required		

Community of Identity: Sexual Orientation

Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
Not applicable		Not applicable	None	None
Details of Impact	<i>Can negative impacts be justified?</i>	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date
There is not expected to be either a positive or negative impact on this community of identity group.		None required		